June 25, 2019

ATTORNEY GENERAL RAOUL ANNOUNCES NEW CRACKDOWN ON ILLEGAL ROBOCALLS U.S., State, and Local Enforcement Stops Companies Responsible For Over 1 Billion Calls

Chicago — Attorney General Kwame Raoul, in cooperation with the Federal Trade Commission (FTC), today announced a major crackdown on illegal robocalls. The sweep includes 94 actions targeting operations around the country that are responsible for more than 1 billion calls pitching a variety of products and services, including credit card interest rate reduction services, money-making opportunities, and medical alert systems.

"Operation Call it Quits," is joint national crackdown that part of an ongoing nationwide effort to help stem the tide of universally loathed pre-recorded telemarketing calls. Operation Call it Quits also provides new information to help educate consumers about illegal robocalls. In addition, Raoul, along with other state attorneys general and the FTC, continue to promote the development of technology-based solutions to block robocalls and combat caller ID spoofing.

"I am committed to protecting the rights of Illinois consumers, and that includes defending against illegal robocalls," Raoul said. "Robocalls cost consumers time and money, and violate their privacy. I am proud to be part of this joint effort to take further action to stop this illegal and intrusive practice."

"We're all fed up with the tens of billions of illegal robocalls we get every year," said Andrew Smith, director of the FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection. "Today's joint effort shows that combatting this scourge remains a top priority for law enforcement agencies around the nation."

As part of today's crackdown, the Attorney General's office filed a lawsuit against Glamour Services, LLC; Awe Struck, Inc.; and Matthew Glamkowski, the manager of Glamour Services and president of Awe Struck. Raoul's office alleged that since 2007, Glamour Services and Awe Struck have used robocalling and telemarketing to solicit home cleaning services. Many consumers who filed complaints with Raoul's office had received repeated, unsolicited calls despite having their phone numbers registered with the National Do Not Call Registry. According to Raoul's office, consumers who asked to be removed from the calling list were ignored, and the calls continued.

Joining Raoul in announcing enforcement actions today are the attorneys general of Alabama, Arizona, Colorado, Florida, Indiana, Michigan, Missouri, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Virginia. The consumer protection divisions within the offices of the California district attorneys for Los Angeles, San Diego, Riverside, and Santa Clara counties; the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services; and the Los Angeles City Attorney also participated in the sweep. In addition, five criminal cases are being handled by the U.S. Attorneys' Offices for the Northern District of Georgia, the Middle District of Florida, and the Southern District of Texas, with support from the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration.

Consumers who wish to file a complaint against a company responsible for robocalls can do so on the <u>Attorney General's website</u> or by calling the Consumer Fraud Hotline at 1-800-243-0618. Information about how consumers can add their number to the Do Not Call registry is also available on the <u>Attorney General's website</u>.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Plaintiff,

No. 2019-cv-

v.

GLAMOUR SERVICES, LLC, a Illinois Limited Liability Company; AWE STRUCK, INC., an Illinois Corporation; and MATTHEW GLAMKOWSKI, individually and in his capacity as Manager of Glamour Services, LLC and as President of Awe Struck, Inc.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER RELIEF

1. Plaintiff, the People of the State of Illinois, by KWAME RAOUL, Illinois Attorney General, as a Complaint for Injunctive and Other Relief against Defendants Glamour Services, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company registered to do business in Illinois ("Glamour Services"), Awe Struck, Inc., an Illinois corporation ("Awe Struck"), and Matthew Glamkowski, as an individual and in his capacity as manager for Glamour Services, LLC and as President of Awe Struck, Inc., ("Glamkowski"), (collectively "Defendants"), states the following:

NATURE OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS

2. This lawsuit arises under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227, et seq., ("TCPA"), and the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act, 15 U.S.C. §6101, et seq., ("Telemarketing Act"), to challenge Defendants' telephone solicitation practices. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction and other relief, based upon Defendants' 1 of 21

violations of the TCPA and of the Telemarketing Act in connection with placing telemarketing solicitations to consumers whose telephone numbers have been registered with the National Do Not Call Registry.

3. Plaintiff, as part of the same case or controversy, also brings this action pursuant to the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act, 815 ILCS 505/1 *et seq.*, ("Consumer Fraud Act").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1337(a), 47 U.S.C. §227(g)(2), and 15 U.S.C. §6103(a), and supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 5. Venue in this judicial district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), in that a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district. Venue is also proper in this judicial district pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(g)(4) and 15 U.S.C. §6103(e), in that Defendants have transacted business in this district.
- 6. Plaintiff notified the Federal Communications Commission of this civil action in writing on or about June 21, 2019.
- 7. Plaintiff notified the Federal Trade Commission of this civil action in writing on or about June 21, 2019.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff, as *parens patriae*, by and through its attorney, Kwame Raoul, Attorney General, is authorized by 47 U.S.C. §227(g)(1) to file actions in federal district court to enjoin violations of and enforce compliance with the TCPA on behalf of residents of the State of Illinois, and to obtain actual damages or damages of \$500 for each violation, and up to treble that amount for each violation committed willfully or knowingly.
- 9. Plaintiff, as *parens patriae*, by and through its attorney, Kwame Raoul, Attorney General, is authorized by 15 U.S.C. §6103 to file actions in federal district court to enjoin violations of and enforce compliance with the Telemarketing Act on behalf of residents of the State of Illinois, and to obtain damages, restitution, or other compensation on behalf of residents of Illinois, or to obtain such further and other relief as the court may deem appropriate.
- 10. Plaintiff, by Kwame Raoul Attorney General of the State of Illinois, is charged, *inter alia*, with the enforcement of the Consumer Fraud Act, 815 ILCS 505/7.
- 11. Glamour Services is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Illinois.
- 12. Glamour Services's principal place of business is 245 West Roosevelt Road, Suite 104, West Chicago, Illinois 60185.
 - 13. Awe Struck is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Illinois.
- 14. Awe Struck's principal place of business is 245 West Roosevelt Road, Suite 104, West Chicago, Illinois 60185.
- 15. Glamkowski is sued individually, and in his capacity as manager of Glamour Services and as president of Awe Struck.

- 16. Glamkowski manages the day-to-day operations of Glamour Services and Awe Struck.
- 17. Glamkowski approved, authorized, directed, and participated in Defendants' telephone solicitation scheme by: (a) creating and approving the scripts that employees, agents, or third parties use to make the telephone solicitations; (b) creating and recording in advance the "ringless" voicemails to be distributed; (c) purchasing lists of consumers to target for telephone solicitations; (d) directing, training, and supervising employees, agents, or third parties to make the telephone solicitations; (e) determining the number and frequency of the telephone solicitations; and (f) approving payment or paying employees, agents, or third parties to conduct the telephone solicitations.
- 18. As described below, Defendants Glamkowski, Glamour Services, and Awe Struck have engaged, and continued to engage in a pattern and practice of defrauding consumers; thus, to adhere to the fiction of a separate corporate existence between Defendants Glamkowski and Glamour Services or between Defendants Glamkowski and Awe Struck would serve to sanction fraud and promote injustice.
- 19. For purposes of this Complaint, any references to the acts and practices of Defendants shall mean that such acts and practices are by Glamkowski and/or through the acts of Glamour Services's and Awe Struck's respective owners, officers, directors, members, employees, partners, representatives, and/or other agents.

DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES

20. Defendants are, and at all times relevant to this Complaint have been, doing business and transacting business as a provider of certain services, including, but not limited to the following: (1)

window washing, (2) pressure washing, (3) air duct cleaning, (4) gutter cleaning, and (5) carpet cleaning (hereinafter "cleaning service(s)").

21. Defendants, in an attempt to sell their cleaning services, direct telemarketing solicitations to, or cause them to be directed to consumers, including but not limited to Illinois consumers.

Defendants' Unfair and Deceptive Telemarketing Activities

- 22. On at least 28 occasions since 2014, Illinois consumers have complained to the Illinois Attorney General of receiving unsolicited telemarketing calls from Defendants, despite being enrolled on the National Do Not Call Registry.
- 23. Defendants have sent telemarketing calls to Illinois consumers whose numbers are registered on the National Do Not Call Registry but who have not complained to the Illinois Attorney General's Office.
- 24. Over 1,000 consumer complaints have been submitted to law enforcement agencies by Illinois consumers who received unsolicited telemarketing calls from Defendants, despite being enrolled on the National Do Not Call Registry.
- 25. In numerous instances, Illinois consumers have complained that Defendants continued to call them despite the consumers informing Defendants they were on the National Do Not Call Registry and despite the consumers specifically requesting Defendants to take them off their call list(s).
- 26. In numerous instances, Defendants have initiated telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers in Illinois using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a message without the prior express consent of the called subscribers.

- 27. In numerous instances, Defendants have initiated telephone solicitations that deliver prerecorded voice messages without identifying the identity of the seller Defendants.
- 28. These messages were prerecorded in the sense that Glamkowski recorded them ahead of time, and then the recording was played when the call was answered by consumers' voice mailboxes. The quality and preciseness of each message left confirm use of prerecorded messages. The number of consumers who report receiving identical messages confirms the messages were sent *en masse*.
- 29. In numerous instances, Defendants have harassed, hung up on, or otherwise failed to honor Illinois consumers' requests that they be removed from Defendants' telemarketing lists.
- 30. In numerous instances, Defendants have threatened Illinois consumers or used profane or obscene language against Illinois consumers during their telemarketing activities.

Defendants' Unfair and Deceptive Cleaning Service Practices

- 31. In some instances, Defendants have taken money from consumers and have failed to commence or complete the promised cleaning services and have failed to provide refunds to consumers.
- 32. In some instances, Defendants have failed to inform consumers of the prices Defendants intend to charge for each type of cleaning service prior to conducting work.
- 33. In some instances, the cleaning services Defendants perform are completed in a shoddy and unworkmanlike manner.

APPLICABLE STATUTES

FEDERAL LAWS

TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT AND APPLICABLE RULES

34. The TCPA, enacted in 1991, amended the Communications Act of 1934 by adding 47 U.S.C. §227, which requires the Federal Communications Commission to

...initiate a rulemaking proceeding concerning the need to protect residential telephone subscribers' privacy rights to avoid receiving telephone solicitations to which they object. ... The regulations required by [the TCPA] may require the establishment and operation of a single national database to compile a list of telephone numbers of residential subscribers who object to receiving telephone solicitations, and to make that compiled list and parts thereof available for purchase. If the Commission determines to require such a database, such regulations shall— ... (F) prohibit any person from making or transmitting a telephone solicitation to the telephone number of any subscriber included in such database ...

47 U.S.C. §227(c)(1) and (c)(3).

35. On June 26, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission revised its rules and promulgated new rules pursuant to the TCPA. These new rules provide for a National Do Not Call Registry.

36. 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(c) provides in part: "No person or entity shall initiate any telephone solicitation to: ... (2) A residential telephone subscriber who has registered his or her telephone number on the national do-not-call registry of persons who do not wish to receive telephone solicitations that is maintained by the Federal Government."

37. 47 U.S.C. §227(a)(4) and 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(f)(14) provide in part: "The term <u>telephone</u> solicitation means the initiation of a telephone call or message for the purpose of encouraging the

purchase or rental of, or investment in, property, goods, or services, which is transmitted to any person ..."

38. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants were engaged in the practice of conducting telephone solicitations as defined in the TCPA and the rules promulgated pursuant to the TCPA.

39. The TCPA provides in part:

Whenever the attorney general of a State, or an official or agency designated by a State, has reason to believe that any person has engaged or is engaging in a pattern or practice of telephone calls or other transmissions to residents of that State in violation of this section or the regulations prescribed under this section, the State may bring a civil action on behalf of its residents to enjoin such calls, an action to recover for actual monetary loss or receive \$500 in damages for each violation, or both such actions. If the court finds the defendant willfully or knowingly violated such regulations, the court may, in its discretion, increase the amount of the award to an amount equal to not more than 3 times the amount available under the preceding sentence.

47 U.S.C. § 227(g)(1).

TELEMARKETING AND CONSUMER FRAUD AND ABUSE PREVENTION ACT AND TELEMARKETING SALES RULE

40. In 1994, Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting abusive and deceptive telemarketing acts or practices pursuant to the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6108. On August 16, 1995, the FTC adopted the Telemarketing Sales Rule (the "Original TSR"), 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which became effective on December 31, 1995. On January 29, 2003, the FTC amended the Original TSR by issuing a Statement of Basis and Purpose and the final amended TSR ("TSR"). Telemarketing Sales Rule, 68 Fed. Reg. 4580-01.

- 41. Among other things, the TSR established a "do-not-call" registry, maintained by the Commission (the "National Do Not Call Registry" or "Registry"), of consumers who do not wish to receive certain types of telemarketing calls. Consumers can register their telephone numbers on the Registry without charge either through a toll-free telephone call or over the Internet at https://donotcall.gov/.
- 42. Sellers, telemarketers, and other permitted organizations can access the Registry over the Internet at https://telemarketing.donotcall.gov/ to download the registered numbers. Sellers and telemarketers are prohibited from calling registered numbers in violation of the TSR. 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).
- 43. Consumers who receive telemarketing calls to their registered numbers can complain of Registry violations the same way they registered, through a toll-free telephone call to 1-888-382-1222 or over the Internet at https://donotcall.gov/, or by contacting law enforcement.
- 44. The TSR also requires a telemarketer to honor a person's request to no longer receive telemarketing calls made by or on behalf of the telemarketer. 16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(iii)(A).
- 45. The TSR prohibits a telemarketer from initiating an outbound telephone call that delivers a prerecorded message unless the message promptly discloses:
 - a. the identity of the seller;
 - b. that the purpose of the call is to sell goods or services; and
 - c. the nature of the goods or services.

16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(v)(B)(ii).

46. Defendants are each a "seller" or "telemarketer" engaged in "telemarketing," as defined by the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(dd), (ff), (gg).

47. Section 6103(a) of the Telemarketing Act authorizes the Attorney General of a state to enforce the Telemarketing Act and the TSR, 15 U.S.C. §6103(a).

STATE LAW

CONSUMER FRAUD ACT

48. Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act, provides:

Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices, including but not limited to the use or employment of any deception, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation or the concealment, suppression or omission of any material fact, with intent that others rely upon the concealment, suppression or omission of such material fact, or the use or employment of any practice described in section 2 of the 'Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act,' approved August 5, 1965, in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful whether any person has in fact been misled, deceived or damaged thereby. In construing this section consideration shall be given to the interpretations of the Federal Trade Commission and the federal courts relating to section 5(a) of the Federal Trade Commission Act.

815 ILCS 505/2.

49. Subsection 1(f) of the Consumer Fraud Act defines "trade" and "commerce" as follows:

The terms 'trade' and 'commerce' mean the advertising, offering for sale, sale, or distribution of any services and any property, tangible or intangible, real, personal, or mixed, and any other article, commodity, or thing of value wherever situated, and shall include any trade or commerce directly or indirectly affecting the people of this State.

815 ILCS 505/1(f).

50. Section 2Z of the Consumer Fraud Act states that any person who knowingly violates certain Illinois statutes, including the Automatic Telephone Dialers Act and the Telephone

Solicitations Act, "commits an unlawful practice within the meaning of this Act." 815 ILCS 5050/2Z.

- 51. Section 30(b) of the Automatic Telephone Dialers Act provides that "[i]t is a violation of this Act to play a prerecorded message placed by an autodialer without the consent of the called party." 815 ILCS 305/30.
 - 52. Section 15 of the Telephone Solicitations Act states in relevant part:
 - (a) No person shall solicit the sale of goods or services in this State by placing a telephone call during the hours between 9 p.m. and 8 a.m.
 - (b) A live operator soliciting the sale of goods or services shall:
 - 1. immediately state his or her name, the name of the business or organization being represented, and the purpose of the call; and
 - 2. inquire at the beginning of the call whether the person consents to the solicitation; and
 - 3. if the person called requests to be taken off the contact list of the business or organization, the operator must refrain from calling that person again and take all steps necessary to have that person's name and telephone number removed from the contact records of the business or organization so that the person will not be contacted again by the business or organization...
 - (c) A person may not solicit the sale of goods or services by telephone in a manner that impedes the function of any caller ID when the telephone solicitor's service or equipment is capable of allowing the display of the solicitor's telephone number.

815 ILCS 413/15.

- 53. Section 25 of the Telephone Solicitations Act states in relevant part:
 - (a) It is a violation of this Act to make or cause to be made telephone calls to any emergency telephone number as defined in Section 5 of this Act. It is a violation of this Act to make or cause to be made telephone calls in a manner that does not comply with Section 15.
 - (b) It is a violation of this Act to continue with a solicitation placed by a live operator without the consent of the called party.
 - (c) It is an unlawful act or practice and a violation of this Act for any person engaged in telephone solicitation to obtain or submit for payment a check, draft, or other form of negotiable paper drawn on a person's checking, savings, or other account or on a bond without the person's express written consent.

815 ILCS 413/25.

54. Section 7 of the Consumer Fraud Act provides:

Whenever the Attorney General has reason to believe that any person is using, has used, or is about to use any method, act or practice declared by the Act to be unlawful, and that proceedings would be in the public interest, he may bring an action in the name of the State against such person to restrain by preliminary or permanent injunction the use of such method, act or practice. The Court, in its discretion, may exercise all powers necessary, including but not limited to: injunction, revocation, forfeiture or suspension of any license, charter, franchise, certificate or other evidence of authority of any person to do business in this State; appointment of a receiver; dissolution of domestic corporations or association suspension or termination of the right of foreign corporations or associations to do business in this State; and restitution.

In addition to the remedies provided herein, the Attorney General may request and this Court may impose a civil penalty in a sum not to exceed \$50,000 against any person found by the Court to have engaged in any method, act or practice declared unlawful under this Act. In the event the court finds the method, act or practice to have been entered into with intent to defraud, the court has the authority to impose a civil penalty in a sum not to exceed \$50,000 per violation.

815 ILCS 505/7.

55. Section 10 of the Consumer Fraud Act provides, "In any action brought under the provisions of this Act, the Attorney General is entitled to recover costs for the use of this State." 815 ILCS 505/10.

VIOLATIONS

COUNT I - TCPA AND RULES

- 56. Paragraphs 1 through 55 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 57. Defendants have violated 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(A)(iii), by engaging in a pattern or practice of initiating telephone solicitations through the use of automatic telephone dialing

systems or an artificial or prerecorded voice to telephone numbers assigned to cellular telephone services.

- 58. Defendants have violated 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(a) and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(B), by engaging in a pattern or practice of initiating telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers in Illinois, using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a message without the prior express consent of the called subscribers.
- 59. Defendants have violated 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(c)(2) and 47 U.S.C. §227(c), by engaging in a pattern or practice of initiating telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers in Illinois, whose telephone numbers were listed on the National Do Not Call Registry.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF - COUNT I

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this honorable Court enter an Order:

- A. Finding that Defendants have violated the TCPA;
- B. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating telephone solicitations through the use of automatic telephone dialing systems or an artificial or prerecorded voice to telephone numbers assigned to cellular telephone services;
- C. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a messages without the prior express consent of the called subscribers;
- D. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers in Illinois, whose telephone numbers are listed on the National Do Not Call Registry;

- E. Assessing against Defendants damages of \$1,500 for each violation of the TCPA found by the Court to have been committed by Defendants willfully and knowingly; if the Court finds Defendants have engaged in violations of the TCPA that are not willful and knowing, then assessing against Defendants damages of \$500 for each violation of the TCPA, as provided by 47 U.S.C. §227;
- D. Assessing against Defendants all costs incurred by Plaintiff in bringing this action; and
- E. Awarding Plaintiff such other and additional relief as the Court determines to be just and proper.

COUNT II - TSR

- 60. Paragraphs 1 through 59 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 61. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have initiated or caused a telemarketer to initiate an outbound telephone call to a person's telephone number on the National Do Not Call Registry in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).
- 62. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have initiated or caused a telemarketer to initiate an outbound telephone call to a person who previously has stated that he or she does not wish to receive an outbound telephone call made by or on behalf of Defendants, in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(iii)(A).
- 63. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have denied a person the right to be placed on any registry of names or telephone numbers that do not wish to receive calls by Defendants, including but not limited to, harassing persons that make such a request, hanging up on persons, and failing to honor persons' requests in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(ii).

14 of 21

- 64. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have engaged in the use of threats, intimidation, or the use of profane or obscene language against a person, in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R, §310.4(a)(1).
- 65. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have initiated outbound calls that deliver prerecorded voice messages that fail to disclose the identity of the seller in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(v)(B)(ii).
- 66. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing, Defendants have initiated telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a message without the prior express consent of the called subscribers in violation of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. §310.4(b)(1)(v)(A).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF- COUNT II

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this honorable Court enter an Order:

- A. Finding that Defendants have violated the Telemarketing Act and the TSR;
- B. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating telephone solicitations to person's telephone numbers on the National Do Not Call Registry;
- C. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating or causing outbound telephone calls to be made to persons who have previously stated that they do not wish to receive telephone calls made by or on behalf of Defendants;
- D. Permanently enjoining Defendants from denying a person the right to be placed on any registry of names or telephone numbers that do not wish to receive calls by Defendants, including but not limited to, harassing persons that make such a request, hanging up on persons, and failing to honor persons' requests;

- E. Permanently enjoining Defendants from engaging in the use of threats, intimidation, or the use of profane or obscene language against a person in connection with telemarketing;
- F. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating outbound calls that deliver prerecorded voice messages that fail to disclose the identity of the seller;
- G. Permanently enjoining Defendants from initiating telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers using an artificial or prerecorded voice to deliver a message without the prior express consent of the called subscribers;
- H. Assessing against Defendants damages for the residents of Illinois, rescission of contracts, the refund of monies paid, and the disgorgement of ill-gotten monies;
- I. Assessing against Defendants all costs incurred by Plaintiff in bringing this action, including reasonable attorney's fees; and
- J. Awarding Plaintiff such other and additional relief as the Court determines to be just and proper.

COUNT III - CONSUMER FRAUD ACT

- 67. Paragraphs 1 through 66 are incorporated herein by reference.
- 68. Defendants were at all times relevant hereto, engaged in trade and commerce in the State of Illinois, in that Defendants advertised, offered for sale, and sold products and services including, but not limited to cleaning services to Illinois consumers and billed Illinois consumers for the same.
- 69. Defendants engaged in a course of trade or commerce that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act by 16 of 21

continuing to place telemarketing calls to Illinois consumers after they requested that Defendants cease this activity.

- 70. Defendants engaged in a course of trade or commerce that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act by representing to consumers, expressly or by implication, with the intent that consumers rely on the representation, that it was legal to place telemarketing calls to consumers when in fact the consumers had placed their phone number on the National Do Not Call Registry.
- 71. Defendants engaged in a course of trade or commerce that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful under Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act by performing work in a shoddy and unworkmanlike manner and failing to refund consumers' money.
- 72. Defendants engaged in a course of trade or commerce that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act by taking money from consumers and failing to commence or complete the promised work and failing to provide refunds to consumers.
- 73. Defendants engaged in a course of trade or commerce that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act by failing to inform consumers, with the intent that consumers rely on the omission, of the material term of the prices Defendants intend to charge for each type of service prior to conducting work.
- 74. Defendants engaged in a course of conduct or trade that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2Z of the Consumer Fraud Act by knowingly making or causing to be made telephone calls using an autodialer to play prerecorded 17 of 21

messages without the consent of the called parties in violation of the Automatic Telephone Dialers Act, 815 ILCS 305/30.

75. Defendants engaged in a course of conduct or trade that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2Z of the Consumer Fraud Act by knowingly failing to refrain from calling persons who had requested to be taken off Defendants' contact list(s), in violation of the Telephone Solicitations Act, 815 ILCS 413/15(b)(3), 815 ILCS 413/25(a).

76. Defendants engaged in a course of conduct or trade that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2Z of the Consumer Fraud Act by knowingly failing to inquire at the beginning of the call whether the person called consents to the solicitation, in violation of the Telephone Solicitations Act, 815 ILCS 413/15(b)(2), 815 ILCS 413/25(a).

77. Defendants engaged in a course of conduct or trade that constitutes deceptive and/or unfair acts or practices declared unlawful pursuant to Section 2Z of the Consumer Fraud Act by knowingly continuing with a solicitation placed by a live operator without the consent of the called party in violation of the Telephone Solicitations Act, 815 ILCS 413/25(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF- COUNT III

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this honorable Court enter an Order:

- A. Finding that Defendants have violated Section 2 of the Consumer Fraud Act;
- B. Finding that Defendants have violated Section 2Z of the Consumer Fraud Act by knowingly violating the Automatic Telephone Dialers Act and the Telephone Solicitations Act;

- C. Permanently enjoining Defendants from continuing to place telemarketing calls to Illinois consumers after consumers request that Defendants cease this activity;
- D. Permanently enjoining Defendants from representing to consumers, expressly or by implication, with the intent that consumers rely on the representation, that it was legal to place telemarketing calls to consumers when in fact the consumers had placed their phone number on the National Do Not Call Registry;
- E. Permanently enjoining Defendants from performing work in a shoddy and unworkmanlike manner and failing to refund consumers' money;
- F. Permanently enjoining Defendants from taking money from consumers and failing to commence or complete the promised work and failing to provide refunds to consumers;
- G. Permanently enjoining Defendants from failing to inform consumers, with the intent that consumers rely on the omission, of the material term of the prices Defendants intend to charge for each type of service prior to conducting work;
- H. Permanently enjoining Defendants from knowingly making or causing to be made telephone calls using an autodialer to play prerecorded messages without the consent of the called parties;
- I. Permanently enjoining Defendants from knowingly failing to refrain from calling persons who had requested to be taken off Defendants' contact list(s);
- J. Permanently enjoining Defendants from knowingly failing to inquire at the beginning of the call whether the person called consents to the solicitation;
- K. Permanently enjoining Defendants from knowingly continuing with a solicitation placed by a live operator without the consent of the called party;

- L. Ordering Defendants to pay full restitution to all affected Illinois consumers;
- M. Ordering Defendants to pay a civil penalty of \$50,000.00 per deceptive or unfair act or practice and an additional amount of \$50,000 for each act or practice found to have been committed with intent to defraud, as provided in Section 7 of the Consumer Fraud Act, 815 ILCS 505/7;
- N. Assessing a civil penalty in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) for any method, act, or practice declared unlawful under the Consumer Fraud Act and directed towards a person 65 years of age or older;
- O. Requiring Defendants to pay all costs for the prosecution and investigation of this action, as provided by Section 10 of the Consumer Fraud Act, 815 ILCS 505/10; and
- P. Awarding Plaintiff such other and additional relief as the Court determines to be just and proper.

Dated: June 25, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by KWAME RAOUL, Illinois Attorney General

BY:

GREG GRZESKIEWICZ

BY:

/s/ Tracy Walsh

TRACY WALSH

KWAME RAOUL Illinois Attorney General

SUSAN ELLIS, Chief Consumer Protection Division GREG GRZESKIEWICZ, Chief Consumer Fraud Bureau

ANDREA LAW, Unit Supervisor Consumer Fraud Bureau

TRACY WALSH, #6297889 Assistant Attorney General Illinois Attorney General - Consumer Fraud Bureau 100 W. Randolph St., 12th floor; Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 814-2159; twalsh@atg.state.il.us



Do Not Call Registry

Telemarketing calls are often an unwelcome annoyance. To reduce the amount of unwanted telemarketing calls you receive, you can register your home and cellular phone numbers on the nationwide Do Not Call Registry. The Attorney General's Office enforces the rules of the Do Not Call Registry to make sure that businesses follow the law and consumers do not become victims of fraud.

To register, visit https://donotcall.gov/register/reg.aspx or call 1-888-382-1222 (TTY: 1-866-290-4236).

Easy on-line registration

Step 1 Enter up to three phone numbers and your email address

Step 2 Check that the information is correct

Step 3 Receive an email from verify@donotcall.gov within a few minutes. It will tell you if your number was previously registered or if the new registration is complete.

This service is free to consumers and doesn't require repeated enrollment—once you sign up, your registration will not expire.

However, it's important to know that, under federal and state law, a number of businesses or organizations still can call numbers on the registry, including:

- calls from organizations with which you have established a business relationship;
- calls for which you have given prior written consent;
- calls which are not commercial or do not include unsolicited advertisements;
- calls by or on behalf of tax-exempt non-profit organizations.
- calls that are political
- calls about charities
- calls about debt collection

The Do Not Call Registry stops **sales** calls from real companies. The Registry is a list that tells telemarketers what numbers not to call. The FCC does not and cannot block calls and the Registry can't stop calls from scammers who ignore the Registry. To get fewer unwanted calls, look into <u>blocking unwanted calls</u>. There are different call-blocking options for mobile phones, traditional landlines, and landlines that use the internet (VoIP). More information on call blocking can be found on the FCC website <u>www.donotcall.gov</u>.

For more information, please contact us.

Chicago Consumer Hotline 1-800-386-5438 1-800-964-3013 TTY Springfield Consumer Hotline 1-800-243-0618 1-877-844-5461 TTY Carbondale Consumer Hotline 1-800-243-5377 1-877-964-3013 TTY